

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/804,034	03/19/2004	Paul Lapstun	NPA136US	7056
24011	7590 11/01/2006		EXAM	INER
SILVERBROOK RESEARCH PTY LTD			PHAM, THIERRY L	
393 DARLII BALMAIN,	NG STREET NSW 2041		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUSTRALI			2625	
			DATE MAILED: 11/01/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/804,034	LAPSTUN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
·	Thierry L. Pham	2625
The MAILING DATE of this commu Period for Reply	nication appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE I Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this con If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO as of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re imunication. statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' ly will, by statute, cause the application to become AB.	CATION. Sply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) fi 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 3)□ Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practice. 	2b) This action is non-final.	•
Disposition of Claims		•
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restr	are withdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
	e: a) accepted or b) objected to bection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyang the correction is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
3. Copies of the certified copies	y documents have been received. y documents have been received in Aps of the priority documents have been onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) I/Mail Date formal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/804,034

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

• This action is responsive to the following communication: an Amendment filed on 9/27/06.

• Claims 1-8 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mori (US 6137590), and in view of Lopresti et al (US 5754308).

Regarding claim 1, Mori discloses a copier (fig. 3) including:

- a scanner (different types of scanners can be used, col. 4, lines 25-35 and col. 5, lines 40-45) for scanning a document containing both document content and first coded data indicative of an identity of the document;
- a detector (bar-code reader of identification code read section 8, fig. 6, col. 5, lines 4-62) for detecting said first coded data (coded data 10a, fig. 7) for accessing a digital version of the document (accessing and retrieving digital version of the document, fig. 7, col. 7, lines 1-10);
- a printer (printing section 5, fig. 6) adapted for printing a retrieved digital version of the document (retrieved copy is printed via printing section 5, col. 7, lines 1-10) and to incorporate a second coded data indicative of an identity of the copy (via using second identification code assignment section 9, fig. 22, col. 13, lines 10-54).

Mori teaches an image forming apparatus for scanning, retrieving, and printing digital version of the document via detecting/sensing coded data 10a on printed document 10, but explicitly fails to teach and/or suggest a printer adapted for printing a copy of the digital version of the "scanned" document and to incorporate a second coded data identity of an identity of the copy.

Lopresti, in the same field of endeavor for an image forming apparatus, teaches a well-known example of a copier (fig. 3) having a printer adapted for printing a copy of the digital version of the "scanned" document and to incorporate a second coded data identity of an identity of the copy (printing/copying a "scanned" document along with newly DocID, col. 7, lines 35 to col. 8, lines 13).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify image forming apparatus of Mori to include a printer engine for printing a copy of the digital version of the "scanned" document and to incorporate a second coded data identity of an identity of the copy as taught by Lopresti because of a following reason: (•) to obtain a high quality reproductions of document by scanning and utilizing reproduction information encoded in the inventive indicia provided on the printed document (col. 2, lines 8-13 of Lopresti); (•) to retrieve and reproduce subsequent originals of printed document quickly and conveniently via using encoded DocIDs (col. 2, lines 15-22).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Mori with Lopresti to obtain the invention as specified in claim 1.

Regarding claim 2, Mori further discloses a copier according to claim 1 further including a network interface (I/F 2, fig. 1, col. 3, lines 25-28 and col. 4, lines 40-60) for transmitting to a computer system data indicative of said first coded data and for receiving from said computer system response data (fig. 15) indicative of an identity of the copy.

Regarding claim 3, Mori further discloses a copier according to claim 2 further including a coded data generator (identification code assignment section 7, fig. 6) adapted to generate said second coded data from said response data.

Regarding claim 4, Mori further discloses a copier according to claim 1 wherein said copier is adapted to communicate (I/F 2, fig. 1, col. 10, lines 45-55) with a server (col. 4, lines 60-63) for allocating a unique identifier to each copy.

Art Unit: 2625

Regarding claim 5, Mori further discloses a copier according to claim 4 wherein data indicative of said unique identifier is incorporated into said second coded data (barcode 10a, fig. 7) and is printed in said printed copy.

Regarding claim 7, Mori further discloses a copier according to claim 2 further adapted to transmit through said network interface (I/F 2, fig. 1) second document data representing said copy of the document to enable said second document data to be stored.

Regarding claim 8, Mori further discloses a copier according to claim 7 wherein said second document data can be retrieved (retrieving digital copy via scanning barcode10a, fig. 7) through said network interface to enable reproduction of said copy with both document content and coded data.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mori and Lopresti as described in claim 1 above, and in view of Dymetman et al (US 6330976).

Regarding claim 6, combinations of Mori and Lopresti disclose a second coded data indicative of an identity of the copy, but fail to teach and/or suggest wherein a second coded data device is indicative of a plurality of reference points on a printed copy to identify the position of the sensing device relative to the copy.

Dymetman, in the same field of endeavor for printing, teaches a second coded data indicative of an identity of the copy, but fails to teach and/or suggest wherein a second coded data device (col. 3, lines 50-67 and col. 9, lines 15-21) is indicative of a plurality of reference points on a printed copy to identify the position (location identifier coded data for identifying location of a photograph, zone, and etc within a document, col. 3, lines 58-67 and col. 8, lines 60-67) of the sensing device relative to the copy.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Mori and Lopresti inventions to include coded data identifying a position of the sensing device relative to the copy as taught by Dymetman because of a following reason: (•) the bar reader not only reads embedded coded data,

Application/Control Number: 10/804,034

Art Unit: 2625

but also determines the exact location/position of its pointer; by doing so, it ensures the users that the right coded data is read; (•) adding a location identifier coded data to identify the position of the sensing device relative to the copy helps user to easily locate the location of the tags.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Mori and Lopresti with Dymetman to obtain the invention as specified in claim 6.

Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection using newly found prior art reference due to newly added features/limitations as cited in claim 1.
- Applicant's arguments, see page 5, filed 9/27/06, with respect to claim 1 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The claim objection of claim 1 has been withdrawn.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2625

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thierry L. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-7439. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30 AM - 6:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David K. Moore can be reached on (571)272-7437. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Thierry L. Pham

GABRIEL I. GÁROJA

PRIMARY EXAMINER